Palmer v. HMG Venture Partner, LLC

Court of Appeal of Florida, Fifth District May 7, 2021, Decision Filed Case No. 5D20-956

Reporter

2021 Fla. App. LEXIS 6550 *; 46 Fla. L. Weekly D 1055; 2021 WL 1827164

JAMES R. PALMER, Appellant, v. HMG VENTURE PARTNER, LLC, MMA REALTY CAPITAL, LLC, LISA J. PALMER, CONNIE JO PAYNE, THOMAS A. STEFANOS, AND PATRIOT I REAL ESTATE TRUST, Appellees. Marie A. Borland, Gregory P. Brown and Shane T. Costello, of Hill, Ward & Henderson, P.A., Tampa, for Appellee, HMG Venture Partners, LLC.

No Appearance for other Appellees.

Notice: NOT FINAL UNTIL TIME EXPIRES TO FILE MOTION FOR REHEARING AND DISPOSITION THEREOF IF FILED DECISION WITHOUT PUBLISHED OPINION

Judges: EDWARDS and NARDELLA, JJ., concur COHEN, J., concurs specially, with opinion.

Opinion

Prior History: [*1] Appeal from the Circuit Court for Orange County, John E. Jordan, Judge.

PER CURIAM.

AFFIRMED.

EDWARDS and NARDELLA, JJ., concur

COHEN, J., concurs specially, with opinion.

Core Terms

bid, evidentiary hearing, inadequacy of price, foreclosure sale, irregularity, allegations, certificate, misconduct, vacate Concur by: COHEN

Concur

Counsel: Barry Rigby, of Law Offices of Barry Rigby, P.A., Orlando, for Appellant.

COHEN, J., concurring specially.

In this foreclosure case, James Palmer appeals the

trial court's order granting in part and denying in part his motion to vacate foreclosure sale, vacate certificate of sale and certificate of title and objection to amount bid. On appeal, Palmer argues that the trial court abused its discretion in failing to grant an evidentiary hearing on his timely objection to the bid amount pursuant to <u>section 45.031(8)</u>, <u>Florida Statutes</u> (2019).1

As a general proposition, mere inadequacy of price standing alone is not a basis for setting aside a judicial sale. *U.S. Bank Nat'l Ass'n v. Bjeljac, 43*So. 3d 851, 852-53 (Fla. 5th DCA 2010). As noted in Bjeljac, "when the inadequacy of price is gross and results from any mistake, accident, surprise, fraud, misconduct or irregularity upon the part [*2] of either the purchaser or other person connected with the sale, with resulting injustice to the complaining party, equity will act to prevent the wrong result." *Id.*

The affidavit challenging the amount of the bid failed to allege any facts (or for that matter, even conclusory allegations) as to any of the factors set out in *Bjeljac*. The only allegation within the affidavit was that of mistake in the failure to attend the foreclosure sale. There were no allegations of any fraud, misconduct or irregularity relating to the successful bid. The affidavit was wholly inadequate to necessitate an evidentiary hearing.

End of Document